IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

WRIT PETITION NO : 22413 of 1995

Between:

Mohd. Quamruddin, S/o. Mohd. Maqdeem, aged 50 years,
R/o. 1-87, Badepally, Jadcharla Mandal, Mahboobnagar District..

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.Hon'ble Minister for Panchayat Raj,
Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

2.State of Andhra Pradesh, rep., by its secretary to Government, Panchayat Raj,
Rural Development & Relief (Pts.IV) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.
3. The District Panchayat Officer, Mahboobnagar.
4.The Executive Officer, Badepally Gram Panchayat,
Jadcharla (M), Mahaboobnagar District.
5.Smt. Thota Narsamma, W/o. Ramachandraiah, aged 35 years,
R/o. Behind Dr.B.R.R.College, Jadcharla, Mahboobnagar Distrct.

(Case against R-5 was dismissed for default, as per the Court order,

dated 25-07-2000)

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order or Direction preferably one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the Proceedings of the 1st respondent, dated 04-08-1995, communicated by the Deputy Secretary to the Government, Panchayat Raj, Rural Development & Relief (Pts.IV) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad, as illegal, malafide, unconstitutional and without jurisdiction and null and void and to issue a consequential direction restraining the respondents from interfering with the petitioner's building.

For the Petitioner: MR.A.GIRIDHAR RAO, ADVOCATE (NOT PRESENT)

For the Respondent Nos.1 to 3: GOVERNMENT PLEADER FOR

PANCHAYAT RAJ AND RURAL DEVELOPMENT

For the Respondent No-4**: MR.P.VITTAL RAO, STANDING COUNSEL**

For the Respondent No-5: -----

The Court made the following:

THE HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE D.S.R.VARMA

W.P.NO.22413 OF 1995

ORAL ORDER:

When the matter came up for hearing, the learned counsel for the petitioner is not present and there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Hence, the writ petition is dismissed for default. However, there shall be no order as to costs.

D.S.R.VARMA, J

04TH NOVEMBER 2004

KRK

To:

1.Hon'ble Minister for Panchayat Raj, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

2.The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Panchayat Raj, Rural Development & Relief (Pts.IV) Department, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad.

3. The District Panchayat Officer, Mahaboobnagar.

4.The Executive Officer, Badepally Gram Panchayat, Jadcharla (M), Mahaboobnagar District.

5.Two CCs to the Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural Development, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

6.Two CD copies.